YEAR 2010-2011 Select An Option

▼ Help Home Log Out



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2010-2011 DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

| Sta | tus: Passed | Publication Level 2 | 2: None | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|--|
| Rating: Superior Achievement | | Last Updated: 6/28/2012 12:33:14 PM | | | |
| District Score: 67 | | Passing Score: 52 | | | |
| # Indicator Description | | | Updated | Score | |
| 1 Was The Total Fund Balance Less Nonspendable and Restricted Fund Balance Greater Than Zero In The General Fund? | | | 6/15/2012 2:56:41 PM | Yes | |
| 2 | Was the Total Unrestricted Net As Accretion of Interest on Capital A the Governmental Activities Colur of Net Assets Greater than Zero? Year % Change in Students was | 6/15/2012 2:56:41 PM | Yes | | |
| 3 | Were There No Disclosures In The Report And/Or Other Sources Of Concerning Default On Bonded In Obligations? | 6/15/2012 2:56:42 PM | Yes | | |
| 4 | Was The Annual Financial Report Month After November 27th or Ja Depending Upon The District's Fis (June 30th or August 31st)? | 6/15/2012 2:56:42 PM | Yes | | |
| 5 | Was There An Unqualified Opinion Report? | 6/15/2012 2:56:42 PM | Yes | | |

| 6 | Did The Annual Financial Report Not Disclose Any Instance(s) Of Material Weaknesses In Internal Controls? | 6/15/2012 2:56:43 PM | Yes | |
|----|---|-------------------------|------------------------|--|
| | | | 1 Multiplier Sum | |
| 7 | Was The Three-Year Average Percent Of Total Tax Collections (Including Delinquent) Greater Than 98%? | 6/15/2012 2:56:43 PM | 5 | |
| 8 | Did The Comparison Of PEIMS Data To Like Information In Annual Financial Report Result In An Aggregate Variance Of Less Than 3 Percent Of Expenditures Per Fund Type (Data Quality Measure)? | 6/15/2012 2:56:43 PM | 5 | |
| 9 | Were Debt Related Expenditures (Net Of IFA And/Or EDA Allotment) < \$350.00 Per Student? (If The District's Five-Year Percent Change In Students = Or > 7%, Or If Property Taxes Collected Per Penny Of Tax Effort > \$200,000 Per Student) | 6/15/2012 2:56:44 PM | 2 | |
| 10 | Was There No Disclosure In The Annual Audit Report Of Material Noncompliance? | 6/15/2012 2:56:44 PM | 5 | |
| 11 | Did The District Have Full Accreditation Status In Relation To Financial Management Practices? (e.g. No Conservator Or Monitor Assigned) | 6/15/2012 2:56:44 PM | 5 | |
| 12 | Was The Aggregate Of Budgeted Expenditures And Other Uses Less Than The Aggregate Of Total Revenues, Other Resources and Fund Balance In General Fund? | 6/15/2012 2:56:45 PM | 5 | |
| 13 | If The District's Aggregate Fund Balance In The General Fund And Capital Projects Fund Was Less Than Zero, Were Construction Projects Adequately Financed? (To Avoid Creating Or Adding To The Fund Balance Deficit Situation) | 6/15/2012 2:56:45 PM | 5 | |
| 14 | Was The Ratio Of Cash And Investments To Deferred Revenues (Excluding Amount Equal To Net Delinquent Taxes Receivable) In The General Fund Greater Than Or Equal To 1:1? (If Deferred Revenues Are Less Than | 6/15/2012 2:56:45 PM | 5 | |

| | Net Delinquent Taxes Receivable) | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|------------------------|
| 15 | Was The Administrative Cost Ratio Less Than The Threshold Ratio? | 6/15/2012 2:56:46 PM | 5 |
| 16 | Was The Ratio Of Students To Teachers Within the Ranges Shown Below According To District Size? | 6/15/2012 2:56:46 PM | 5 |
| 17 | Was The Ratio Of Students To Total Staff Within the Ranges Shown Below According To District Size? | 6/15/2012 2:56:47 PM | 5 |
| 18 | Was The Decrease In Undesignated Unreserved Fund Balance < 20% Over Two Fiscal Years?(If Total Revenues > Operating Expenditures In The General Fund, Then District Receives 5 Points) | 6/15/2012 2:56:47 PM | 5 |
| 19 | Was The Aggregate Total Of Cash And Investments In The General Fund More Than \$0? | 6/15/2012 2:56:48 PM | 5 |
| 20 | Were Investment Earnings In All Funds (Excluding Debt Service Fund and Capital Projects Fund) Meet or Exceed the 3-Month Treasury Bill Rate? | 6/15/2012 2:56:48 PM | 5 |
| - | | | 67 Weighted Sum |
| *************************************** | | | 1 Multiplier Sum |
| | | | 67 Score |

DETERMINATION OF RATING

| Α. | Did The District Answer 'No' To Indicators 1, 2, 3 Or 4? OR Answer 'No' To Both 5 and 6? If So, The District's Rating Is St Achievement. | |
|----|--|----------------|
| В. | Determine Rating By Applicable Range For summation of the inc (Indicators 7-20) | dicator scores |
| | Superior Achievement | 64-70 |
| | | |

| Above Standard Achievement | 58-63 |
|----------------------------|-------|
| Standard Achievement | 52-57 |
| Substandard Achievement | <52 |

INDICATOR 17 & 18 RATIOS

| Indicator 17 | Range Ratios | *************************************** | Indicator 18 | Ranges for Ratios | |
|---|-----------------|---|--|----------------------|------|
| District Size - Number of Students Between | Low | High | District Size - Number of Students Between | Low | High |
| < 500 | 7 | 22 | < 500 | 5 | 14 |
| 500-999 | 10 | 22 | 500-999 | 5.8 | 14 |
| 1000-4999 | 11.5 | 22 | 1000-4999 | 6.3 | 14 |
| 5000-9999 | 13 | 22 | 5000-9999 | 6.8 | 14 |
| => 10000 | 13.5 | 22 | => 10000 | 7.0 | 14 |

OPTIONS

| Jpdate Unpassed | Update All | | Lower Publication Level | |
|----------------------|------------|---------|-------------------------|--|
| Raise Publication Le | evel | Suspend | Suspension Reason. | |

Audit Home Page: School Financial Audits | Send comments or suggestions to schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us

THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734